

Australia

**David Gow, University of Queensland
Roger Jones, Australian National University
M Sim, Australian National University
Ian McAllister, University of New South Wales**

Australian candidate study, 1990: File pub

Study Documentation

December 13, 2016

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Australian Data Archive (ADA) , The Australian National University
Production Date	December 16, 2007
Version	Version 1. 16 April, 2007
Identification	au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	5
Sampling	5
Data Collection	5
Accessibility	5
Rights & Disclaimer	6
Files Description	7
au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub	7
Variables List	8
au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub	8
Variables Description	17
au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub	18

Australian candidate study, 1990: File pub

Overview

Identification	au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub
-----------------------	------------------------------

Abstract

Candidates for the House of Representatives and Senate in the Federal election were surveyed, as in the Australian Candidate Study, 1987. In 1990, however, the survey was restricted to candidates for the major parties - Labor, Liberal, National and Australian Democrat - plus candidates standing on green and environmental platforms; other minor party candidates and independents were not included.

The study examines the political issues prevalent in the election, replicating some of the questions on the economy, the environment, social issues and industrial policy which were asked in the Australian Election Study, 1990 (SSDA No. 570). These include candidates' attitudes to particular economic issues, attitudes to the protection of the environment and to environmental issues in general. A section on social policy includes questions on around 30 contemporary social and moral issues, including abortion, Aboriginal rights, pornography, health care, and many others. A section on women focuses on women's issues, support for women, attitudes to women and attitudes to women as election candidates. This will enable extensive replication to be conducted focusing on mass-elite linkages, both across the electorate as a whole, and disaggregated by party.

In addition, the survey asks questions relating to political background, including electoral history, party political involvement and membership of community organisations, together with questions on pre-selection, such as the support which the candidate was given to gain nomination. A section on campaigning asks for candidates' perceptions of the personal attributes and experience which they think are required to make a successful candidate and MP, and the activities that the candidate engaged in during their campaign.

Data collected on social and political characteristics of candidates, plus certain social and political features of the electoral divisions in which they stood (for House of Representatives candidates only), has been linked to the candidates' questionnaires. However, due to confidentiality provisions, only subsets of these variables can be provided for analysis. The variables are: house contested; state; electoral division*; party affiliation; sex; occupation; whether elected or not; first preference vote in 1990 election*; position on ballot; incumbency status; years in parliament; ministerial status; number of candidates on ballot; median income in electoral division*; urban-rural location of electoral division*; two-party preferred vote in 1987 in the electoral division; two-party preferred vote in 1990 in the electoral division*.

*for House of Representatives candidates only

Kind of Data	survey coded documents
---------------------	-------------------------------

Unit of Analysis	Individual
-------------------------	------------

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	Economic policy, Elections, Environment, Industrial Relations, Political parties, Politicians, Politics, Social classes, Social policy, Social problems, Women and employment
-----------------	---

Topics	Australian studies, Politics, Election and campaign studies
---------------	---

Countries	Australia
------------------	-----------

Geographic Coverage

National

Universe

'Viable' parliamentary candidates for 24 March 1990 Australian federal election. Because of the large number of independent and minor party candidates who stood in the election, the survey is not based on a sample in any conventional sense. To be eligible for selection, candidates had to meet one or more of the following criteria: 1. Endorsed candidates of the Labor Party, Liberal Party, National Party, Australian Democrats, and the Nuclear Disarmament Party

2. Candidates with labels explicitly identifying them as environmentally concerned (except the Australian Green Party)
3. Candidates who were endorsed by significant Green pressure groups
4. Other candidates who it was anticipated would obtain more than 10 per cent of the first preference vote

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	David Gow, University of Queensland Roger Jones, Australian National University M Sim, Australian National University Ian McAllister, University of New South Wales
Funding Agency/ies	Research School of Social Sciences The Australian National University Department of Political Science The Australian National University Department of Politics University College University of New South Wales Department of Government Department of Sociology and Anthropology University of Queensland

Sampling
Sampling Procedure no sampling (total universe)

Data Collection	
Data Collection Mode	self-completion (mail out, mail back)
	<p>The survey was mailed to the candidates on 3 April 1990, ten days after the election. The envelopes contained an individually-addressed and signed letter explaining the purposes of the study and a guarantee of confidentiality, the questionnaire, and a return postage-paid envelope. In addition, a letter of introduction from party leaders was included in the mailings to Labor Party and Australian Democrat candidates. Approximately one week later a thank you/reminder postcard was mailed to all those included in the survey.</p> <p>A follow-up of all survey respondents who had not returned questionnaires or who had not indicated that they wished to be excluded from the study was conducted six weeks after the election. The follow-up envelope consisted of an individually-addressed and signed letter re-stating the purposes of the study and emphasising confidentiality, another questionnaire, and a return post-paid envelope.</p>

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Dr Roger G. Jones Data Archives Research School of Social Sciences The Australian National University G.P.O. Box 4 Canberra A.C.T. 2601 (Research School of Social Sciences, The Australian National University)
Distributor(s)	Australian Data Archive, 18 Balmain Crescent, Canberra ACT 0200

Depositor(s)	Dr Roger G. Jones Data Archives Research School of Social Sciences The Australian National University G.P.O. Box 4 Canberra A.C.T. 2601
<p><u>Confidentiality</u> A copy of the User Undertaking Form must be signed before data may be accessed.</p>	
<p><u>Citation Requirements</u> All manuscripts based in whole or in part on these data should:</p> <p>(i) identify the data and original investigators by using the recommended bibliographic reference to the data file</p> <p>(ii) acknowledge the Australian Data Archive and, where the data are made available through the Australian Data Archive by another archive, acknowledge that archive;</p> <p>Gow, D., et al. Australian candidate study, 1990 [computer file]. Canberra: Australian Data Archive, The Australian National University, 2004.</p> <p>(iii) declare that those who carried out the original analysis and collection of the data bear no responsibility for the further analysis or interpretation of them.</p>	
<p>Rights & Disclaimer</p>	
<p><u>Disclaimer</u> Use of the material is solely at the user's risk. The depositor, The Australian National University and the Australian Data Archive shall not be held responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the material supplied.</p>	
Copyright	Copyright © 2005, The Australian National University. All rights reserved.

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub	
# Cases	429
# Variable(s)	221

Variables List

Dataset contains 221 variable(s)

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ID	Repondent Id Number	discrete	numeric-4.0	0	429	-
2	CARD1	Record Number	discrete	numeric-1.0	429	0	-
3	A1	Ever Elected to Local Council	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
4	A1LOCALC	Year Elected to Local Council	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
5	A2	Ever Elected to State or Territory Govt	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
6	A2STATE	Year Elected to State or Territory Govt	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
7	A3	Year Joined Political Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
8	A4A	Ever Employed in MPs office	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
9	A4B	Ever Employed as a Political Party Offic	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
10	A4C1	Never held Elected Position in Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
11	A4C2	Delegate to Party Conference	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
12	A4C3	Held Local Branch or Electorate Position	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
13	A4C4	Held State or Territory Position	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
14	A4C5	Held Federal or National Position	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
15	A5FAM1	No family record of public office in Aus	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
16	A5FAM2	Family involvement local politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
17	A5FAM3	Family involvement State Terr politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
18	A5FAM4	Family involvement Federal politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
19	A6	Years lived in electorate	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
20	A7ORG1	Community service organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
21	A7ORG2	Business associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
22	A7ORG3	Trade unions or staff associations	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
23	A7ORG4	Institutional Groups (eg. school boards)	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
24	A7ORG5	Religious organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	A7ORG6	Environment or conservation groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
26	A7ORG7	Womens organisations	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
27	A7ORG8	Sports clubs	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
28	B1RUN1	Encouraged by family members	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
29	B1RUN2	Encouraged by Personal Friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
30	B1RUN3	Encouraged by business work associates	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
31	B1RUN4	Encouraged by party members in electorat	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
32	B1RUN5	Encouraged by State party officials	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
33	B1RUN6	Encouraged by Federal Party officials	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
34	B2SUP1	Campaign support by family members	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
35	B2SUP2	Campaign support by personal friends	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
36	B2SUP3	Campaign support by Work associates	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
37	B2SUP4	Campaign support by Local Party members	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
38	B2SUP5	Campaign support by State party official	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
39	B2SUP6	Campaign support by Federal party offici	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
40	B3	Formal preselection contested	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
41	B3NOM	No. of nominees for formal preselection	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
42	B3WOMEN	No. of women nominees	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
43	B4	Member of a State ALP Faction	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
44	B4CONT	Preselection contested within faction	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
45	B4NOM	No. of nominees within faction	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
46	B4WOMEN	On. of women nominees	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
47	B5	Align to National ALP factions	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
48	CIATTR1	Good speaker	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
49	CIATTR2	Personal energy and enthusiasm	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
50	CIATTR3	Good personal appearance	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
51	C1ATTR4	Stable home life	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
52	C1ATTR5	Committed to constituency	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
53	C1ATTR6	Well educated	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
54	C1ATTR7	Knowledgeable about issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-
55	C1ATTR8	Well known locally	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
56	C1ATTR9	Well known nationally	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
57	C2EXP1	Parliamentary experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
58	C2EXP2	Experience of public life	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
59	C2EXP3	Experience as party worker	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
60	C2EXP4	Business experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
61	C2EXP5	Trade union experience	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
62	C2EXP6	Legal background	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
63	C2EXP7	Social services background	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
64	C2EXP8	Teaching background	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
65	CARD2	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	429	0	-
66	C3JOB1	MP JOB: Speaking in Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-
67	C3JOB2	MP JOB: Working in Parliamentary committ	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
68	C3JOB3	MP JOB: Representing local interests in	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
69	C3JOB4	MP JOB: Supporting the Party leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
70	C3JOB5	MP JOB: Defending party policy	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
71	C3JOB6	MP JOB: Attending local commuunity functio	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-
72	C3JOB7	MP JOB: Dealing with constituents proble	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
73	C3JOB8	MP JOB: Representing regional interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
74	C3JOB9	MP JOB: Developing party policy	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
75	C4ACT1	Speaking at public meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
76	C4ACT2	Attending local community functions	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
77	C4ACT3	Dealing with constituents Problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	417	12	-
78	C4ACT4	Talking to the local media	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
79	C4ACT5	Collecting money for the campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
80	C4ACT6	Planning and organising the campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
81	C4ACT7	Door knocking and letterboxing	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
82	C5	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	415	14	-
83	C6EFF1	Door knocking and letterboxing	discrete	numeric-1.0	411	18	-
84	C6EFF2	Street stalls	discrete	numeric-1.0	374	55	-
85	C6EFF3	Posters and billboards	discrete	numeric-1.0	399	30	-
86	C6EFF4	Direct mailing	discrete	numeric-1.0	375	54	-
87	C6EFF5	Telephone canvassing	discrete	numeric-1.0	337	92	-
88	C6EFF6	Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	393	36	-
89	C6EFF7	Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	399	30	-
90	C6EFF8	Suburban and local newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
91	C6EFF9	National press advertising	discrete	numeric-1.0	388	41	-
92	C6EFF10	National press coverage of the campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	402	27	-
93	D1ISS1	Family life	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
94	D1ISS2	Equal pay	discrete	numeric-1.0	412	17	-
95	D1ISS3	Child care	discrete	numeric-1.0	411	18	-
96	D1ISS4	Equal employment opportunities	discrete	numeric-1.0	412	17	-
97	D1ISS5	Interest rates	discrete	numeric-1.0	411	18	-
98	D1ISS6	Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
99	D1ISS7	Womens health	discrete	numeric-1.0	412	17	-
100	D2	Partys success in attracting women	discrete	numeric-1.0	409	20	-
101	D3	Own campaign success in attracting women	discrete	numeric-1.0	404	25	-
102	D4	Womens encouragement to become candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	409	20	-
103	D5	Womens organisations support in campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	406	23	-
104	D6WOMEN	Woman candidate attracts women vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	408	21	-
105	D6MEN	Women candidate attracts mens vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	394	35	-
106	D7	Parties attitude to women as candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
107	D8PARL1	Party training programs for women	discrete	numeric-1.0	406	23	-
108	D8PARL2	Affirmative action for women candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	404	25	-
109	D8PARL3	Better childcare facilites for MPs	discrete	numeric-1.0	405	24	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
110	D8PARL4	Changing hours of Parliamentary Sittings	discrete	numeric-1.0	404	25	-
111	D8PARL5	Financial support for women candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	401	28	-
112	D9WOM1	Women should be paid the same as men	discrete	numeric-1.0	414	15	-
113	D9WOM2	More women should stand for Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	407	22	-
114	D9WOM3	Women stay at home when high Unemployment	discrete	numeric-1.0	414	15	-
115	D9WOM4	More women should enter politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	16	-
116	D9WOM5	Having job best for womens independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
117	D9WOM6	Sexs havs have same rights and duties	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
118	E3LESS1	Top priority for less govt spending	discrete	numeric-1.0	338	91	-
119	E3LESS2	Second priority for less govt spending	discrete	numeric-1.0	321	108	-
120	E3MORE1	Top priority for more govt spending	discrete	numeric-1.0	347	82	-
121	E3MORE2	Second priority for more govt spending	discrete	numeric-1.0	335	94	-
122	E2TAX	High tax makes people less willing to wo	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-
123	E2EQUAL	Income & wealth should be redistributed	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
124	E2PIND	Private industry keep more of its profit	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
125	E2PROFIT	Modern society without profit motive	discrete	numeric-1.0	427	2	-
126	E3RESP	Distribution of wealth - Rs position	discrete	numeric-1.0	416	13	-
127	E4RESP	Taxes v. social services - Rs position	discrete	numeric-1.0	408	21	-
128	E5	Private medical treatment	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
129	E6	Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
130	E7	Government help for Aborigines	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	16	-
131	E8EQUOP	Equal opportunities for women	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
132	E8NUDSEX	Nudity and sex in films and magazines	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
133	E8ETHNID	Migrants keeping their ethnic identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
134	E8ABORLR	Transfer of land rights to Aborigines	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
135	E8MIGEO	Equal opportunities for migrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	415	14	-
136	E8PROTES	The right to protest and demonstrate	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
137	E8IMMIG	No. of migrants allowed into Australia	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
138	CARD3	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	429	0	-
139	E9SMKGOV	Ban smoking: in government departments	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
140	E9SMKWKR	Ban smoking: The workplace generally	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
141	E9SMKEAT	Ban smoking: Public eating places	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
142	E9SMKSHP	Ban smoking: Shops and supermarkets	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
143	E9SMKPUB	Ban smoking: All public places	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
144	E10	Whether currently a smoker	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
145	E11DEATH	Death penalty reintroduced for murder	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
146	E11HOMLA	Stricter laws against homosexuality	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
147	E11MARIJ	Decriminalise smoking of marijuana	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
148	E11POLPO	The police should be given more power	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
149	E11LAWBR	Stiffer sentences if break the law	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
150	E12HLTHT	Increase taxes and spend more on health	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
151	E12GOVHN	Too many rely on government handouts	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
152	E12REDPO	More money spent on reducing poverty	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
153	E12SUCCE	In Australian society anyone can succeed	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
154	E12EDUCT	Increase taxes & spend more on education	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
155	E12UNEMP	Most of the unemployed dont want to work	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
156	E13	Employee control of industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	417	12	-
157	E14	Sympathy for or against strikers	discrete	numeric-1.0	425	4	-
158	E15JOBS	Govt ensure jobs for all who want work	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
159	E15REGTU	Stricter regulation of trade unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
160	E15STRKE	Workers strike without good reason	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
161	E15TUPOW	Trade union power	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
162	E15BUSPW	Big business power	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
163	E15TUPRO	Trade unions protect workers	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
164	E15BUSBE	Big business exploits workers	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
165	E15PVTIS	Privatisation of industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	5	-
166	E15WRKSA	Workers say in workplace	discrete	numeric-1.0	423	6	-
167	E16AIMS1	First choice Australian aims	discrete	numeric-1.0	417	12	-
168	E16AIMS2	Second choice Australian aims	discrete	numeric-1.0	415	14	-
169	E17AIM1	First choice national aims	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
170	E17AIM2	Second choice national aims	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
171	FIRESP	Logging in N. Est. Forests - Cs position	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	16	-
172	F2	Views on prices and the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	19	-
173	F3	Views on taxes and the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	409	20	-
174	F4	Nuclear power - danger or resource	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
175	F5NSHIP	Ban nuclear powered warships	discrete	numeric-1.0	420	9	-
176	F5NENGY	Nuclear energy a necessity	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
177	F5TECHG	Good effects of technology outweigh bad	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
178	F5SCIT	Science & technology best hope for futur	discrete	numeric-1.0	422	7	-
179	F5URAN	Australia should mine its uranium	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
180	F5ENVIR	Our society is abusing the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	418	11	-
181	F5POP	Approaching world overpopulation	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
182	F5NATOK	Other things more important than Nature	discrete	numeric-1.0	417	12	-
183	F5PRESN	Nature most precious in life	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
184	F5PROTE	Could do more to protect the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	419	10	-
185	F5GOVTE	Govt could do more to protect environmen	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	8	-
186	G1	Year born	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
187	G2SELF	Own country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
188	G2MUM	Mothers country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
189	G3DAD	Fathers country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
190	G3	Age left school	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
191	G4	Years of tertiary education	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
192	G5REL	Do you have a religion or faith	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
193	G5FAITH	How important is your religion to you	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
194	E1SPEND1	Spending on Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	59	370	-
195	E1SPEND2	Spending on Defence	discrete	numeric-1.0	59	370	-
196	E1SPENE1	Spending on Aged Pensions	discrete	numeric-1.0	60	369	-
197	E1SPEND4	Spending on Health	discrete	numeric-1.0	58	371	-
198	E1SPEND5	Spending on Roads	discrete	numeric-1.0	59	370	-
199	E1SPEND6	Spending on Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	55	374	-
200	E1SPEND7	Spending on Unemployment Benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	57	372	-
201	E1SPEND8	Spending on Help for industry	discrete	numeric-1.0	60	369	-
202	E1SPEND9	Spending on Protecting the Environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	62	367	-
203	PARTY	Candidate's Party Label	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
204	INCUMB	Whether Incumbent or Not	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
205	RESULT	Whether Elected or Not	discrete	numeric-1.0	426	3	-
206	HOUSE	House of Reps or Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
207	STATE	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
208	ELECDIV	Fed Elect Div (Numbered in Each State)	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
209	SEX	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
210	OCCUP	Four Digit ASCO Code for Occupation	discrete	numeric-4.0	0	429	-
211	VOTE90	First Preference Vote in 1990	discrete	numeric-6.2	0	429	-
212	BALPOS	Position on Ballot Paper in Senate Group	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
213	YRSPARL	Years in Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	429	-
214	CABINET	Membership in Cabinet Shadow Cabinet	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
215	BALNO	No of Cands on Ballot in Senate Group	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
216	ELDIVSES	Socio-Economic Status of Electoral Division	discrete	numeric-5.0	0	429	-
217	REGION	Urban-Rural Location of Electoral Division	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	429	-
218	LAB2PP87	Lab Per Cent of 2-Pty Pref Vote 1987	discrete	numeric-5.1	0	429	-

File au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
219	LAB2PP90	Lab Per Cent of 2-Pty Pref Vote 1990	discrete	numeric-5.1	0	429	-
220	FEDELDIV	Federal Electoral Division	discrete	numeric-9.2	0	429	-
221	MARGSEAT	Marginality of Seat	discrete	numeric-9.2	0	429	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 221 variable(s)

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# ID: Repondent Id Number**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CARD1: Record Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=429 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		429	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A1: Ever Elected to Local Council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A1LOCALC: Year Elected to Local Council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A2: Ever Elected to State or Territory Govt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# A2STATE: Year Elected to State or Territory Govt****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A3: Year Joined Political Party****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4A: Ever Employed in MPs office****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4B: Ever Employed as a Political Party Offic****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4C1: Never held Elected Position in Party****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# A4C2: Delegate to Party Conference****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4C3: Held Local Branch or Electorate Position****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4C4: Held State or Territory Position****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A4C5: Held Federal or National Position****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A5FAM1: No family record of public office in Aus****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# A5FAM2: Family involvement local politics****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A5FAM3: Family involvement State/Terr politics****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A5FAM4: Family involvement Federal politics****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A6: Years lived in electorate****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dont live in Elect	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A7ORG1: Community service organisations****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

A7ORG2: Business associations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]

Security Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A7ORG3: Trade unions or staff associations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]

Security Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A7ORG4: Institutional Groups (eg. school boards)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]

Security Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A7ORG5: Religious organisations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]

Security Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A7ORG6: Environment or conservation groups

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]

Security Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# A7ORG7: Womens organisations****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# A7ORG8: Sports clubs****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Selected	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B1RUN1: Encouraged by family members****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B1RUN2: Encouraged by Personal Friends****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# B1RUN3: Encouraged by business/work associates****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B1RUN4: Encouraged by party members in electorate****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B1RUN5: Encouraged by State party officials****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B1RUN6: Encouraged by Federal Party officials****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# B1RUN6: Encouraged by Federal Party officials**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP1: Campaign support by family members

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP2: Campaign support by personal friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP3: Campaign support by Work associates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

B2SUP3: Campaign support by Work associates

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP4: Campaign support by Local Party members

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP5: Campaign support by State party official

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2SUP6: Campaign support by Federal party offici

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	0	
2	Positive	0	
3	Indifferent	0	
4	Negative	0	
5	Very negative	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub**# B3: Formal preselection contested****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Systemmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B3NOM: No. of nominees for formal preselection****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B3WOMEN: No. of women nominees****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B4: Member of a State ALP Faction****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Systemmiss		429	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# B4CONT: Preselection contested within faction****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]**Security** Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Systemmiss		429	

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

B4CONT: Preselection contested within faction

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B4NOM: No. of nominees within faction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B4WOMEN: On. of women nominees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B5: Align to National ALP factions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Socialist Left	0	
2	National Centre Left	0	
3	National Right	0	
4	Non-aligned	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1ATTR1: Good speaker

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	251	58.9%
2	Fairly important	171	40.1%
3	Not very important	4	0.9%
4	Not at all important	0	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1ATTR2: Personal energy and enthusiasm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]

File : au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.00571-pub

C1ATTR2: Personal energy and enthusiasm

Security	Public
-----------------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	385	90.8%
2	Fairly important	39	9.2%
3	Not very important	0	
4	Not at all important	0	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1ATTR3: Good personal appearance

















Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--













Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]
---------------------------	-------------------------------

Security	Public
-----------------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	178	41.9%
2	Fairly important	211	49.6%
3	Not very important	32	7.5%
4	Not at all important	4	0.9%
Sysmiss		4	

















Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# C1ATTR4: Stable home life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	157	 37.5%
2	Fairly important	179	 42.7%
3	Not very important	68	 16.2%
4	Not at all important	15	 3.6%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C1ATTR5: Committed to constituency			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	283	 67.1%
2	Fairly important	122	 28.9%
3	Not very important	15	 3.6%
4	Not at all important	2	 0.5%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C1ATTR6: Well educated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	97	 23.0%
2	Fairly important	235	 55.7%
3	Not very important	76	 18.0%
4	Not at all important	14	 3.3%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C1ATTR7: Knowledgeable about issues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	290	 68.2%
2	Fairly important	122	 28.7%
3	Not very important	12	 2.8%
4	Not at all important	1	 0.2%

# C1ATTR7: Knowledgeable about issues			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C1ATTR8: Well known locally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	181	 42.5%
2	Fairly important	187	 43.9%
3	Not very important	51	 12.0%
4	Not at all important	7	 1.6%
Sysmiss		3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C1ATTR9: Well known nationally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	14	 3.3%
2	Fairly important	61	 14.5%
3	Not very important	202	 48.0%
4	Not at all important	144	 34.2%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP1: Parliamentary experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8	 1.9%
2	Fairly important	58	 13.8%
3	Not very important	230	 54.8%
4	Not at all important	124	 29.5%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP2: Experience of public life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

# C2EXP2: Experience of public life			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	86	20.3%
2	Fairly important	214	50.6%
3	Not very important	100	23.6%
4	Not at all important	23	5.4%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP3: Experience as party worker			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	73	17.3%
2	Fairly important	166	39.4%
3	Not very important	129	30.6%
4	Not at all important	53	12.6%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP4: Business experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	44	10.5%
2	Fairly important	141	33.7%
3	Not very important	161	38.4%
4	Not at all important	73	17.4%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP5: Trade union experience			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	14	3.3%
2	Fairly important	81	19.3%
3	Not very important	176	41.9%
4	Not at all important	149	35.5%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP6: Legal background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# C2EXP6: Legal background			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	4	0.9%
2	Fairly important	38	9.0%
3	Not very important	180	42.7%
4	Not at all important	200	47.4%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP7: Social services background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	23	5.5%
2	Fairly important	82	19.4%
3	Not very important	189	44.8%
4	Not at all important	128	30.3%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C2EXP8: Teaching background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8	1.9%
2	Fairly important	33	7.9%
3	Not very important	185	44.2%
4	Not at all important	193	46.1%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# CARD2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=429 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		429	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB1: MP JOB: Speaking in Parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]	

# C3JOB1: MP JOB: Speaking in Parliament			
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	119	 28.0%
2	Fairly important	240	 56.5%
3	Not very important	58	 13.6%
4	Not at all important	8	 1.9%
Sysmiss		4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB2: MP JOB: Working in Parliamentary committ			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	156	 36.8%
2	Fairly important	215	 50.7%
3	Not very important	47	 11.1%
4	Not at all important	6	 1.4%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB3: MP JOB: Representing local interests in			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	303	 71.5%
2	Fairly important	106	 25.0%
3	Not very important	13	 3.1%
4	Not at all important	2	 0.5%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB4: MP JOB: Supporting the Party leader			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	141	 33.4%
2	Fairly important	184	 43.6%
3	Not very important	65	 15.4%
4	Not at all important	32	 7.6%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# C3JOB5: MP JOB: Defending party policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	135	31.9%
2	Fairly important	223	52.7%
3	Not very important	46	10.9%
4	Not at all important	19	4.5%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB6: MP JOB: Attending local community function			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	194	45.6%
2	Fairly important	195	45.9%
3	Not very important	29	6.8%
4	Not at all important	7	1.6%
Sysmiss		4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB7: MP JOB: Dealing with constituents problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	343	80.9%
2	Fairly important	76	17.9%
3	Not very important	5	1.2%
4	Not at all important	0	
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB8: MP JOB: Representing regional interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	219	51.8%
2	Fairly important	164	38.8%
3	Not very important	34	8.0%
4	Not at all important	6	1.4%

# C3JOB8: MP JOB: Representing regional interests			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C3JOB9: MP JOB: Developing party policy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	192	45.4%
2	Fairly important	189	44.7%
3	Not very important	35	8.3%
4	Not at all important	7	1.7%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT1: Speaking at public meetings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	43	10.2%
2	Quite a lt	106	25.2%
3	Some	170	40.5%
4	Not much or none	101	24.0%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT2: Attending local community functions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	80	18.9%
2	Quite a lt	125	29.6%
3	Some	147	34.8%
4	Not much or none	71	16.8%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT3: Dealing with constituents Problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage













# C4ACT3: Dealing with constituents Problems			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	72	17.3%
2	Quite a lot	102	24.5%
3	Some	130	31.2%
4	Not much or none	113	27.1%
Sysmiss		12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT4: Talking to the local media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	89	21.0%
2	Quite a lot	144	34.0%
3	Some	151	35.7%
4	Not much or none	39	9.2%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT5: Collecting money for the campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	24	5.7%
2	Quite a lot	55	13.1%
3	Some	140	33.3%
4	Not much or none	201	47.9%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT6: Planning and organising the campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	140	33.3%
2	Quite a lot	137	32.5%
3	Some	114	27.1%
4	Not much or none	30	7.1%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C4ACT7: Door knocking and letterboxing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# C4ACT7: Door knocking and letterboxing			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	95	22.5%
2	Quite a lot	109	25.8%
3	Some	108	25.6%
4	Not much or none	110	26.1%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=415 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		88	21.2%
2		60	14.5%
3		59	14.2%
4		114	27.5%
5		94	22.7%
Sysmiss		14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF1: Door knocking and letterboxing			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=411 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	117	28.5%
2	Quite effective	189	46.0%
3	Not very effective	74	18.0%
4	Not at all effective	31	7.5%
Sysmiss		18	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF2: Street stalls			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=374 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	29	7.8%
2	Quite effective	134	35.8%
3	Not very effective	111	29.7%
4	Not at all effective	100	26.7%

# C6EFF2: Street stalls			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		55	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF3: Posters and billboards			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=399 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	50	12.5%
2	Quite effective	153	38.3%
3	Not very effective	130	32.6%
4	Not at all effective	66	16.5%
Sysmiss		30	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF4: Direct mailing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=375 /-] [Invalid=54 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	119	31.7%
2	Quite effective	111	29.6%
3	Not very effective	66	17.6%
4	Not at all effective	79	21.1%
Sysmiss		54	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF5: Telephone canvassing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=92 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	34	10.1%
2	Quite effective	69	20.5%
3	Not very effective	93	27.6%
4	Not at all effective	141	41.8%
Sysmiss		92	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF6: Television			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=393 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

# C6EFF6: Television			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	131	33.3%
2	Quite effective	156	39.7%
3	Not very effective	55	14.0%
4	Not at all effective	51	13.0%
Sysmiss		36	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF7: Radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=399 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	128	32.1%
2	Quite effective	171	42.9%
3	Not very effective	66	16.5%
4	Not at all effective	34	8.5%
Sysmiss		30	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF8: Suburban and local newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	154	36.8%
2	Quite effective	194	46.4%
3	Not very effective	62	14.8%
4	Not at all effective	8	1.9%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF9: National press advertising			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=388 /-] [Invalid=41 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	50	12.9%
2	Quite effective	158	40.7%
3	Not very effective	104	26.8%
4	Not at all effective	76	19.6%
Sysmiss		41	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# C6EFF10: National press coverage of the campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# C6EFF10: National press coverage of the campaign			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=402 /-] [Invalid=27 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very effective	120	29.9%
2	Quite effective	144	35.8%
3	Not very effective	85	21.1%
4	Not at all effective	53	13.2%
Sysmiss		27	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS1: Family life			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	239	58.3%
2	Fairly important	146	35.6%
3	Not very important	25	6.1%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS2: Equal pay			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=412 /-] [Invalid=17 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	242	58.7%
2	Fairly important	134	32.5%
3	Not very important	36	8.7%
Sysmiss		17	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS3: Child care			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=411 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	294	71.5%
2	Fairly important	103	25.1%
3	Not very important	14	3.4%
Sysmiss		18	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS4: Equal employment opportunities			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	

# D1ISS4: Equal employment opportunities			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=412 /-] [Invalid=17 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	266	 64.6%
2	Fairly important	123	 29.9%
3	Not very important	23	 5.6%
Sysmiss		17	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS5: Interest rates			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=411 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	178	 43.3%
2	Fairly important	182	 44.3%
3	Not very important	51	 12.4%
Sysmiss		18	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS6: Abortion			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	140	 34.1%
2	Fairly important	163	 39.8%
3	Not very important	107	 26.1%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D1ISS7: Womens health			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=412 /-] [Invalid=17 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	227	 55.1%
2	Fairly important	151	 36.7%
3	Not very important	34	 8.3%
Sysmiss		17	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D2: Partys success in attracting women			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=409 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]	

D2: Partys success in attracting women

Security

Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very successful	130	31.8%
2	Quite successful	227	55.5%
3	Not very successful	52	12.7%
Sysmiss		20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# D3: Own campaign success in attracting women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=404 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very successful	101	25.0%
2	Quite successful	257	63.6%
3	Not very successful	46	11.4%
Sysmiss		25	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D4: Womens encouragement to become candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=409 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	256	62.6%
2	Some	114	27.9%
3	Not very much	39	9.5%
Sysmiss		20	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D5: Womens organisations support in campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=406 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	59	14.5%
2	Some	171	42.1%
3	Not very much	176	43.3%
Sysmiss		23	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D6WOMEN: Woman candidate attracts women vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=408 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More likely	196	48.0%
2	Makes no difference	184	45.1%
3	Less likely	28	6.9%
Sysmiss		21	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D6MEN: Women candidate attracts mens vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# D6MEN: Women candidate attracts mens vote			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=394 /-] [Invalid=35 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More likely	27	6.9%
2	Makes no difference	249	63.2%
3	Less likely	118	29.9%
Sysmiss		35	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D7: Parties attitude to women as candidates			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very negative	2	0.5%
2	Somewhat negative	17	4.1%
3	Neither +ve -ve	76	18.5%
4	Somewhat positive	113	27.6%
5	Very positive	202	49.3%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D8PARL1: Party training programs for women			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=406 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	137	33.7%
2	Approve	122	30.0%
3	Neither	109	26.8%
4	Disapprove	23	5.7%
5	Strongly disapprove	15	3.7%
Sysmiss		23	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D8PARL2: Affirmative action for women candidates			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=404 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	86	21.3%
2	Approve	93	23.0%
3	Neither	105	26.0%
4	Disapprove	60	14.9%

# D8PARL2: Affirmative action for women candidates			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disapprove	60	14.9%
Sysmiss		25	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest</i>			
# D8PARL3: Better childcare facilities for MPs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=405 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	131	32.3%
2	Approve	119	29.4%
3	Neither	106	26.2%
4	Disapprove	32	7.9%
5	Strongly disapprove	17	4.2%
Sysmiss		24	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D8PARL4: Changing hours of Parliamentary Sitings			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=404 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	67	16.6%
2	Approve	77	19.1%
3	Neither	160	39.6%
4	Disapprove	64	15.8%
5	Strongly disapprove	36	8.9%
Sysmiss		25	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D8PARL5: Financial support for women candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=401 /-] [Invalid=28 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	46	11.5%
2	Approve	52	13.0%
3	Neither	125	31.2%
4	Disapprove	99	24.7%
5	Strongly disapprove	79	19.7%
Sysmiss		28	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM1: Women should be paid the same as men			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# D9WOM1: Women should be paid the same as men			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=414 /-] [Invalid=15 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	342	82.6%
2	Agree	68	16.4%
3	Neither	3	0.7%
4	Disagree	0	
5	Strongly disagree	1	0.2%
Sysmiss		15	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM2: More women should stand for Parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=407 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	41.5%
2	Agree	140	34.4%
3	Neither	85	20.9%
4	Disagree	10	2.5%
5	Strongly disagree	3	0.7%
Sysmiss		22	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM3: Women stay at home when high Unemployment			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=414 /-] [Invalid=15 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	10	2.4%
2	Agree	12	2.9%
3	Neither	55	13.3%
4	Disagree	114	27.5%
5	Strongly disagree	223	53.9%
Sysmiss		15	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM4: More women should enter politics			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=413 /-] [Invalid=16 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	200	48.4%
2	Agree	136	32.9%

# D9WOM4: More women should enter politics			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither	67	16.2%
4	Disagree	9	2.2%
5	Strongly disagree	1	0.2%
Sysmiss		16	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM5: Having job best for womens independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	80	19.5%
2	Agree	119	29.0%
3	Neither	115	28.0%
4	Disagree	72	17.6%
5	Strongly disagree	24	5.9%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# D9WOM6: Sexs havs have same rights and duties			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	200	48.8%
2	Agree	107	26.1%
3	Neither	68	16.6%
4	Disagree	24	5.9%
5	Strongly disagree	11	2.7%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E3LESS1: Top priority for less govt spending			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=338 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Education	0	
2	Defence	201	59.5%
3	Aged pensions	0	
4	Health	6	1.8%
5	Roads	7	2.1%
6	Police	9	2.7%
7	Unemployment benefits	80	23.7%

# E3LESS1: Top priority for less govt spending			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Help for industry	28	8.3%
9	Protection of envir	7	2.1%
Sysmiss		91	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E3LESS2: Second priority for less govt spending			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=321 +/-] [Invalid=108 +/-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Education	8	2.5%
2	Defence	43	13.4%
3	Aged pensions	5	1.6%
4	Health	10	3.1%
5	Roads	42	13.1%
6	Police	61	19.0%
7	Unemployment benefits	43	13.4%
8	Help for industry	95	29.6%
9	Protection of envir	14	4.4%
Sysmiss		108	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E3MORE1: Top priority for more govt spending			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=347 +/-] [Invalid=82 +/-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Education	132	38.0%
2	Defence	8	2.3%
3	Aged pensions	14	4.0%
4	Health	31	8.9%
5	Roads	42	12.1%
6	Police	1	0.3%
7	Unemployment benefits	5	1.4%
8	Help for industry	24	6.9%
9	Protection of envir	90	25.9%
Sysmiss		82	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E3MORE2: Second priority for more govt spending			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=335 +/-] [Invalid=94 +/-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

E3MORE2: Second priority for more govt spending

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Education	88	26.3%
2	Defence	6	1.8%
3	Aged pensions	24	7.2%
4	Health	50	14.9%
5	Roads	29	8.7%
6	Police	14	4.2%
7	Unemployment benefits	5	1.5%
8	Help for industry	27	8.1%
9	Protection of envir	92	27.5%
Sysmiss		94	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2TAX: High tax makes people less willing to wo

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	126	29.6%
2	Agree	119	28.0%
3	Neither	58	13.6%
4	Disagree	91	21.4%
5	Strongly disagree	31	7.3%
Sysmiss		4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2EQUAL: Income & wealth should be redistributed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	103	24.5%
2	Agree	150	35.6%
3	Neither	56	13.3%
4	Disagree	80	19.0%
5	Strongly disagree	32	7.6%
Sysmiss		8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2PIND: Private industry keep more of its profit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Security	Public
















Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-------	-------	-------	------------

# E2PIND: Private industry keep more of its profit			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	7.6%
2	Agree	95	22.5%
3	Neither	99	23.4%
4	Disagree	124	29.3%
5	Strongly disagree	73	17.3%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E2PROFIT: Modern society without profit motive			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=427 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	40	9.4%
2	Agree	56	13.1%
3	Neither	47	11.0%
4	Disagree	163	38.2%
5	Strongly disagree	121	28.3%
Sysmiss		2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E3RESP: Distribution of wealth - Rs position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=416 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tax rich more	82	19.7%
2		93	22.4%
3		79	19.0%
4		71	17.1%
5		55	13.2%
6		26	6.2%
7	Rich keep wealth	10	2.4%
Sysmiss		13	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E4RESP: Taxes v. social services - Rs position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=408 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce taxes	29	7.1%
2		45	11.0%

















# E4RESP: Taxes v. social services - Rs position			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		63	15.4%
4		77	18.9%
5		101	24.8%
6		60	14.7%
7	Increase taxes	33	8.1%
Sysmiss		21	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E5: Private medical treatment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish	31	7.3%
2	Private hospitals	100	23.6%
3	Govt & private	283	66.9%
4	Dont know	9	2.1%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E6: Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Obtain readily	229	54.0%
2	Special circumstances	165	38.9%
3	Banned	22	5.2%
4	Dont know	8	1.9%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E7: Government help for Aborigines			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 /-] [Invalid=16 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too little help	194	47.0%
2	About right	98	23.7%
3	Too much help	106	25.7%
4	Dont know	15	3.6%
Sysmiss		16	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# E8EQUOP: Equal opportunities for women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	12	2.8%
2	Too far	25	5.9%
3	About right	123	29.1%
4	Not far enough	191	45.2%
5	Not nearly far enough	72	17.0%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8NUDSEX: Nudity and sex in films and magazines			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	46	10.9%
2	Too far	114	27.0%
3	About right	206	48.7%
4	Not far enough	47	11.1%
5	Not nearly far enough	10	2.4%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8ETHNID: Migrants keeping their ethnic identity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	19	4.5%
2	Too far	79	18.8%
3	About right	246	58.6%
4	Not far enough	56	13.3%
5	Not nearly far enough	20	4.8%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8ABORLR: Transfer of land rights to Aborigines			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	45	10.6%

# E8ABORLR: Transfer of land rights to Aborigines			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Too far	67	15.8%
3	About right	93	22.0%
4	Not far enough	119	28.1%
5	Not nearly far enough	99	23.4%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8MIGEO: Equal opportunities for migrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=415 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	5	1.2%
2	Too far	12	2.9%
3	About right	192	46.3%
4	Not far enough	153	36.9%
5	Not nearly far enough	53	12.8%
Sysmiss		14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8PROTES: The right to protest and demonstrate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	4	0.9%
2	Too far	23	5.5%
3	About right	289	68.5%
4	Not far enough	72	17.1%
5	Not nearly far enough	34	8.1%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E8IMMIG: No. of migrants allowed into Australia			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much too far	34	8.1%
2	Too far	116	27.8%
3	About right	203	48.6%
4	Not far enough	51	12.2%
5	Not nearly far enough	14	3.3%
Sysmiss		11	

# E8IMMIG: No. of migrants allowed into Australia			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# CARD3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=429 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		429	 100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E9SMKGOV: Ban smoking: in government departments			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	251	 59.3%
2	Agree	100	 23.6%
3	Neither	37	 8.7%
4	Disagree	26	 6.1%
5	Strongly disagree	9	 2.1%
Systemss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E9SMKWRK: Ban smoking: The workplace generally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	242	 57.2%
2	Agree	92	 21.7%
3	Neither	48	 11.3%
4	Disagree	32	 7.6%
5	Strongly disagree	9	 2.1%
Systemss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E9SMKEAT: Ban smoking: Public eating places			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	261	 61.6%
2	Agree	80	 18.9%
3	Neither	55	 13.0%
4	Disagree	21	 5.0%

# E9SMKEAT: Ban smoking: Public eating places			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disagree	7	1.7%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E9SMKSHP: Ban smoking: Shops and supermarkets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	252	59.4%
2	Agree	106	25.0%
3	Neither	44	10.4%
4	Disagree	16	3.8%
5	Strongly disagree	6	1.4%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E9SMKPUB: Ban smoking: All public places			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	155	36.6%
2	Agree	50	11.8%
3	Neither	110	25.9%
4	Disagree	74	17.5%
5	Strongly disagree	35	8.3%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E10: Whether currently a smoker			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never smoked	203	47.9%
2	No, used to smoke	146	34.4%
3	Yes, < 10 a day	20	4.7%
4	Yes, 10-20 a day	27	6.4%
5	Yes, > 20 a day	22	5.2%
6	Yes, smoke a pipe	6	1.4%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# E11DEATH: Death penalty reintroduced for murder			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	40	 9.4%
2	Agree	68	 16.0%
3	Neither	33	 7.7%
4	Disagree	85	 20.0%
5	Strongly disagree	200	 46.9%
Sysmiss		3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E11HOMLA: Stricter laws against homosexuality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	30	 7.0%
2	Agree	47	 11.0%
3	Neither	63	 14.8%
4	Disagree	110	 25.8%
5	Strongly disagree	176	 41.3%
Sysmiss		3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E11MARIJ: Decriminalise smoking of marijuana			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	107	 25.1%
2	Agree	129	 30.3%
3	Neither	56	 13.1%
4	Disagree	79	 18.5%
5	Strongly disagree	55	 12.9%
Sysmiss		3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E11POLPO: The police should be given more power			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	 4.0%

E11POLPO: The police should be given more power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	64	15.0%
3	Neither	120	28.2%
4	Disagree	133	31.2%
5	Strongly disagree	92	21.6%
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E11LAWBR: Stiffer sentences if break the law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	61	14.4%
2	Agree	130	30.7%
3	Neither	116	27.4%
4	Disagree	71	16.7%
5	Strongly disagree	46	10.8%
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E12HLTHT: Increase taxes and spend more on health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	11.9%
2	Agree	101	24.0%
3	Neither	105	25.0%
4	Disagree	123	29.3%
5	Strongly disagree	41	9.8%
Sysmiss		9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# E12GOVHN: Too many rely on government handouts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	87	20.6%
2	Agree	126	29.8%
3	Neither	55	13.0%
4	Disagree	109	25.8%
5	Strongly disagree	46	10.9%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E12REDPO: More money spent on reducing poverty			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	28.5%
2	Agree	172	40.9%
3	Neither	63	15.0%
4	Disagree	46	10.9%
5	Strongly disagree	20	4.8%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E12SUCCE: In Australian society anyone can succeed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	12.5%
2	Agree	133	31.4%
3	Neither	61	14.4%
4	Disagree	139	32.8%
5	Strongly disagree	38	9.0%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E12EDUCT: Increase taxes & spend more on education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	68	16.3%

# E12EDUCT: Increase taxes & spend more on education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	128	30.6%
3	Neither	97	23.2%
4	Disagree	108	25.8%
5	Strongly disagree	17	4.1%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E12UNEMP: Most of the unemployed dont want to work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	3.8%
2	Agree	43	10.2%
3	Neither	71	16.9%
4	Disagree	158	37.5%
5	Strongly disagree	133	31.6%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E13: Employee control of industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More employee control	293	70.3%
2	About the same as now	81	19.4%
3	Less employee control	43	10.3%
Sysmiss		12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E14: Sympathy for or against strikers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=425 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For strikers	91	21.4%
2	Depends	252	59.3%
3	Against strikers	82	19.3%
4	Dont know	0	
Sysmiss		4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15JOBS: Govt ensure jobs for all who want work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# E15JOBS: Govt ensure jobs for all who want work			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	122	29.0%
2	Agree	180	42.8%
3	Neither	64	15.2%
4	Disagree	44	10.5%
5	Strongly disagree	11	2.6%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15REGTU: Stricter regulation of trade unions			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	73	17.2%
2	Agree	98	23.1%
3	Neither	75	17.7%
4	Disagree	128	30.2%
5	Strongly disagree	50	11.8%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15STRKE: Workers strike without good reason			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	40	9.5%
2	Agree	114	27.0%
3	Neither	69	16.3%
4	Disagree	142	33.6%
5	Strongly disagree	58	13.7%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15TUPOW: Trade union power			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	82	19.4%
2	Agree	104	24.6%

# E15TUPOW: Trade union power			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither	53	12.5%
4	Disagree	122	28.8%
5	Strongly disagree	62	14.7%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15BUSPW: Big business power			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	142	33.5%
2	Agree	184	43.4%
3	Neither	56	13.2%
4	Disagree	36	8.5%
5	Strongly disagree	6	1.4%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15TUPRO: Trade unions protect workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	135	32.0%
2	Agree	194	46.0%
3	Neither	43	10.2%
4	Disagree	33	7.8%
5	Strongly disagree	17	4.0%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15BUSBE: Big business exploits workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	111	26.2%
2	Agree	134	31.7%
3	Neither	97	22.9%
4	Disagree	71	16.8%
5	Strongly disagree	10	2.4%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# E15PVTIS: Privatisation of industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	59	13.9%
2	Agree	115	27.1%
3	Neither	54	12.7%
4	Disagree	122	28.8%
5	Strongly disagree	74	17.5%
Sysmiss		5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E15WRKSA: Workers say in workplace			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	147	34.8%
2	Agree	178	42.1%
3	Neither	47	11.1%
4	Disagree	36	8.5%
5	Strongly disagree	15	3.5%
Sysmiss		6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E16AIMS1: First choice Australian aims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maintain order	59	14.1%
2	More say in govt	199	47.7%
3	Fight rising prices	65	15.6%
4	Freedom of speech	94	22.5%
Sysmiss		12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E16AIMS2: Second choice Australian aims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=415 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maintain order	67	16.1%
2	More say in govt	88	21.2%

# E16AIMS2: Second choice Australian aims			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fight rising prices	105	25.3%
4	Freedom of speech	155	37.3%
Sysmiss		14	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E17AIM1: First choice national aims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High economic growth	58	13.8%
2	Strong defence forces	1	0.2%
3	People have more say	60	14.3%
4	Envir. more beautiful	4	1.0%
5	Stable economy	127	30.2%
6	More humane society	119	28.3%
7	Ideas count more	48	11.4%
8	Fight against crime	3	0.7%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E17AIM2: Second choice national aims			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High economic growth	26	6.2%
2	Strong defence forces	16	3.8%
3	People have more say	76	18.2%
4	Envir. more beautiful	21	5.0%
5	Stable economy	78	18.7%
6	More humane society	104	24.9%
7	Ideas count more	66	15.8%
8	Fight against crime	31	7.4%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F1RESP: Logging in N. Est. Forests - Cs position			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 /-] [Invalid=16 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ban logging	145	35.1%
2		74	17.9%

# F1RESP: Logging in N. Est. Forests - Cs position			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		47	11.4%
4		73	17.7%
5		50	12.1%
6		24	5.8%
7	Allow logging	0	
Sysmiss		16	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F2: Views on prices and the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increase prices	389	94.9%
2	Keep prices down	17	4.1%
3	Dont know	4	1.0%
Sysmiss		19	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F3: Views on taxes and the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=409 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher taxes	356	87.0%
2	Lower taxes	51	12.5%
3	Dont know	2	0.5%
Sysmiss		20	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F4: Nuclear power - danger or resource			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Serious risk	213	50.8%
2	Fairly serious risk	86	20.5%
3	Only slight risk	85	20.3%
4	Hardly any risk at all	35	8.4%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5NSHIP: Ban nuclear powered warships			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]		

# F5NSHIP: Ban nuclear powered warships			
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	187	44.5%
2	Agree	57	13.6%
3	Neither	27	6.4%
4	Disagree	90	21.4%
5	Strongly disagree	59	14.0%
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5NENGY: Nuclear energy a necessity			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	29	6.9%
2	Agree	95	22.6%
3	Neither	58	13.8%
4	Disagree	81	19.2%
5	Strongly disagree	158	37.5%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5TECHG: Good effects of technology outweigh bad			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	40	9.6%
2	Agree	142	34.0%
3	Neither	130	31.1%
4	Disagree	74	17.7%
5	Strongly disagree	32	7.7%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5SCIT: Science & technology best hope for futur			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=422 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	86	20.4%
2	Agree	170	40.3%
3	Neither	84	19.9%

# F5SCIT: Science & technology best hope for futur			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Disagree	67	15.9%
5	Strongly disagree	15	3.6%
Sysmiss		7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5URAN: Australia should mine its uranium			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	10.3%
2	Agree	114	27.3%
3	Neither	37	8.9%
4	Disagree	51	12.2%
5	Strongly disagree	173	41.4%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5ENVIR: Our society is abusing the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=418 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	190	45.5%
2	Agree	169	40.4%
3	Neither	31	7.4%
4	Disagree	19	4.5%
5	Strongly disagree	9	2.2%
Sysmiss		11	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5POP: Approaching world overpopulation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	133	31.7%
2	Agree	118	28.2%
3	Neither	73	17.4%
4	Disagree	78	18.6%
5	Strongly disagree	17	4.1%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# F5NATOK: Other things more important than Nature			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	9	2.2%
2	Agree	41	9.8%
3	Neither	83	19.9%
4	Disagree	162	38.8%
5	Strongly disagree	122	29.3%
Sysmiss		12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5PRESN: Nature most precious in life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	153	36.5%
2	Agree	187	44.6%
3	Neither	57	13.6%
4	Disagree	15	3.6%
5	Strongly disagree	7	1.7%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5PROTE: Could do more to protect the environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=419 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	123	29.4%
2	Agree	215	51.3%
3	Neither	44	10.5%
4	Disagree	34	8.1%
5	Strongly disagree	3	0.7%
Sysmiss		10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# F5GOVTE: Govt could do more to protect environmen			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	204	48.5%

# F5GOVTE: Govt could do more to protect environmen			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	157	37.3%
3	Neither	37	8.8%
4	Disagree	21	5.0%
5	Strongly disagree	2	0.5%
Sysmiss		8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G1: Year born			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G2SELF: Own country of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Australia	0	
2	Overseas	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G2MUM: Mothers country of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Australia	0	
2	Overseas	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G3DAD: Fathers country of birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Australia	0	
2	Overseas	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# G3: Age left school			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G4: Years of tertiary education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No tertiary education	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G5REL: Do you have a religion or faith			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# G5FAITH: How important is your religion to you			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely important	0	
2	Very important	0	
3	Important	0	
4	Not very important	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPEND1: Spending on Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=370 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	0	

# E1SPEND1: Spending on Education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Slightly less spending	2	3.4%
3	Slightly more spending	15	25.4%
4	More spending	42	71.2%
Sysmiss		370	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPEND2: Spending on Defence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=370 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	17	28.8%
2	Slightly less spending	19	32.2%
3	Slightly more spending	19	32.2%
4	More spending	4	6.8%
Sysmiss		370	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPENE1: Spending on Aged Pensions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60 /-] [Invalid=369 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	2	3.3%
2	Slightly less spending	2	3.3%
3	Slightly more spending	27	45.0%
4	More spending	29	48.3%
Sysmiss		369	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPEND4: Spending on Health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58 /-] [Invalid=371 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	0	
2	Slightly less spending	3	5.2%
3	Slightly more spending	17	29.3%
4	More spending	38	65.5%
Sysmiss		371	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPEND5: Spending on Roads			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 /-] [Invalid=370 /-]		

E1SPEND5: Spending on Roads

Security	Public
-----------------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	2	3.4%
2	Slightly less spending	5	8.5%
3	Slightly more spending	22	37.3%
4	More spending	30	50.8%
Sysmiss		370	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E1SPEND6: Spending on Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55 /-] [Invalid=374 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Security	Public
-----------------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	3	5.5%
2	Slightly less spending	13	23.6%
3	Slightly more spending	24	43.6%
4	More spending	15	27.3%
Sysmiss		374	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E1SPEND7: Spending on Unemployment Benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Security	Public
-----------------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	12	21.1%
2	Slightly less spending	19	33.3%
3	Slightly more spending	16	28.1%
4	More spending	10	17.5%
Sysmiss		372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# E1SPEND8: Spending on Help for industry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60 /-] [Invalid=369 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	6	10.0%
2	Slightly less spending	14	23.3%
3	Slightly more spending	18	30.0%
4	More spending	22	36.7%
Sysmiss		369	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# E1SPEND9: Spending on Protecting the Environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less spending	1	1.6%
2	Slightly less spending	6	9.7%
3	Slightly more spending	10	16.1%
4	More spending	45	72.6%
Sysmiss		367	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# PARTY: Candidate's Party Label			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	95	22.3%
2	Labor	114	26.8%
3	National	35	8.2%
4	Democrat	139	32.6%
5	Green (incl UTG etc)	34	8.0%
6	Independent	0	
7	Nuclear Disarmament Party	0	
8	Other	9	2.1%
Sysmiss		3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# INCUMB: Whether Incumbent or Not			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

INCUMB: Whether Incumbent or Not

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Non-incumbent	163	38.3%
1	Incumbent	81	19.0%
2	Incumb elsewhere	0	
9	unspecified	182	42.7%
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RESULT: Whether Elected or Not

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=426 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not elected	146	34.3%
1	Elected	98	23.0%
9	unspecified	182	42.7%
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

HOUSE: House of Reprs or Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	House of Reprs	0	
2	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STATE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NSW	0	
2	Victoria	0	
3	Qld	0	
4	SA	0	
5	WA	0	
6	Tasmania	0	
7	ACT	0	
8	NT	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ELECDIV: Fed Elect Div (Numbered in Each State)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# SEX			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/3]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	0	
2	Female	0	
3	Unsure	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# OCCUP: Four Digit ASCO Code for Occupation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9994-9998] [Missing=*/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9994	Unemployed	0	
9995	Retired	0	
9996	Student	0	
9997	Keeping House	0	
9998	Pensioner	0	
9999	Uncodable	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VOTE90: First Preference Vote in 1990			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*/99.99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		
Security	Public		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99.99	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# BALPOS: Position on Ballot Paper in Senate Group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]		

# BALPOS: Position on Ballot Paper in Senate Group			
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9 and over	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# YRSPARL: Years in Parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No parliamentary experience	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# CABINET: Membership in Cabinet Shadow Cabinet			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not in ministry/shadow ministry	0	
1	Member of outer ministry/outer shadow ministry	0	
2	Member of cabinet/shadow cabinet	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# BALNO: No of Cands on Ballot in Senate Group			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	9 and over	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ELDIVSES: Socio-Economic Status of Electoral Division			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# REGION: Urban-Rural Location of Electoral Division			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]	

# REGION: Urban-Rural Location of Electoral Division			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Senate	0	
1	Inner Metropolitan	0	
2	Outer Metropolitan	0	
3	Provincial	0	
4	Rural	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# LAB2PP87: Lab Per Cent of 2-Pty Pref Vote 1987			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*/99.9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99.9	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# LAB2PP90: Lab Per Cent of 2-Pty Pref Vote 1990			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-0] [Missing=*/99.9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99.9	Senate	0	
Sysmiss		429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# FEDELDIR: Federal Electoral Division			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-801] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]	
Security		Public	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Banks	0	
102	Barton	0	
103	Bennelong	0	
104	Berowra	0	
105	Blaxland	0	
106	Bradfield	0	
107	Calare	0	
108	Charlton	0	
109	Chifley	0	
110	Cook	0	

FEDELDIV: Federal Electoral Division

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111	Cowper	0	
112	Cunningham	0	
113	Dobell	0	
114	Dundas	0	
115	Eden-Monaro	0	
116	Farrer	0	
117	Fowler	0	
118	Gilmore	0	
119	Grayndler	0	
120	Greenway	0	
121	Gwydir	0	
122	Hughes	0	
123	Hume	0	
124	Hunter	0	
125	Kingsford-Smith	0	
126	Lindsay	0	
127	Lowe	0	
128	Lyne	0	
129	Macarthur	0	
130	Mackellar	0	
131	Macquarie	0	
132	Mitchell	0	
133	Newcastle	0	
134	New England	0	
135	North Sydney	0	
136	Page	0	
137	Parkes	0	
138	Parramatta	0	
139	Phillip	0	
140	Prospect	0	
141	Reid	0	
142	Richmond	0	
143	Riverina-Darling	0	
144	Robertson	0	
145	St George	0	
146	Shortland	0	
147	Sydney	0	
148	Throsby	0	
149	Warringah	0	
150	Wentworth	0	
151	Werriwa	0	
201	Aston	0	
202	Ballarat	0	

FEDELDIV: Federal Electoral Division

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	Batman	0	
204	Bendigo	0	
205	Bruce	0	
206	Burke	0	
207	Calwell	0	
208	Casey	0	
209	Chisholm	0	
210	Corangamite	0	
211	Corinella	0	
212	Corio	0	
213	Deakin	0	
214	Dunkley	0	
215	Flinders	0	
216	Gellibrand	0	
217	Gippsland	0	
218	Goldstein	0	
219	Higgins	0	
220	Holt	0	
221	Hotham	0	
222	Indi	0	
223	Isaacs	0	
224	Jagajaga	0	
225	Kooyong	0	
226	Lalor	0	
227	La Trobe	0	
228	McEwen	0	
229	McMillan	0	
230	Mallee	0	
231	Maribyrong	0	
232	Melbourne	0	
233	Melbourne Ports	0	
234	Menzies	0	
235	Murray	0	
236	Scullin	0	
237	Wannon	0	
238	Wills	0	
301	Bowman	0	
302	Brisbane	0	
303	Capricornia	0	
304	Dawson	0	
305	Fadden	0	
306	Fairfax	0	
307	Fisher	0	

FEDELDIV: Federal Electoral Division

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
308	Forde	0	
309	Griffith	0	
310	Groom	0	
311	Herbert	0	
312	Hinkler	0	
313	Kennedy	0	
314	Leichhardt	0	
315	Lilley	0	
316	McPherson	0	
317	Maranoa	0	
318	Moncrieff	0	
319	Moreton	0	
320	Oxley	0	
321	Petrie	0	
322	Rankin	0	
323	Ryan	0	
324	Wide Bay	0	
401	Adelaide	0	
402	Barker	0	
403	Bonython	0	
404	Boothby	0	
405	Grey	0	
406	Hawker	0	
407	Hindmarsh	0	
408	Kingston	0	
409	Makin	0	
410	Mayo	0	
411	Port Adelaide	0	
412	Sturt	0	
413	Wakefield	0	
501	Brand	0	
502	Canning	0	
503	Cowan	0	
504	Curtin	0	
505	Forrest	0	
506	Fremantle	0	
507	Kalgoorlie	0	
508	Moore	0	
509	OConnor	0	
510	Pearce	0	
511	Perth	0	
512	Stirling	0	
513	Swan	0	

FEDELDIV: Federal Electoral Division

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
514	Tangey	0	
601	Bass	0	
602	Braddon	0	
603	Denison	0	
604	Franklin	0	
605	Lyons	0	
701	Canberra	0	
702	Fraser	0	
801	NTerritory	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MARGSEAT: Marginality of Seat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=429 /-]
Security	Public

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Safe Labor	0	
2	Marginal Labor	0	
3	Marginal LNP	0	
4	Safe LNP	0	
Sysmiss		429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.